
Ming Ronnier Luo
Editor

Encyclopedia of Color Science and Technology

With 831 Figures and 52 Tables

 Springer Reference

Editor

Ming Ronnier Luo
State Key Laboratory of Modern
Optical Instrumentation
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou, China

School of Design
University of Leeds
Leeds, UK

Graduate Institute of Colour and Illumination
National Taiwan University of Science and Technology
Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China

ISBN 978-1-4419-8070-0 ISBN 978-1-4419-8071-7 (eBook)
ISBN 978-1-4419-8072-4 (print and electronic bundle)
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-8071-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016939599

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Science+Business Media LLC New York

2. Pederson, O.: *A Survey of the Almagest*. Springer. ISBN: 978-0-387-84825-9 (2011)
3. Pogson, N.R.: *Magnitudes of 36 of the minor planets for the first day of each month of the year 1857*. *MNRS* **17**, 12 (1856)
4. Johnson, H.L., Morgan, W.W.: *Fundamental stellar photometry for standards of spectral type on the revised system of the Yerkes spectral atlas*. *Astrophys. J.* **117**, 313 (1953)
5. Garrison, R.F., Karmo, T.: *The brightest stars*. In: Chapman, D. (ed.) *Observer's Handbook of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada*, RASC Publications, Toronto, pp. 273–283 (2013)
6. Dufay, J.: *Introduction to Astrophysics*. The Stars Dover Press, Chapters 1, 2 and 3, Dover Press, New York, (1964)

Appearance

José Luis Caivano¹ and Paul Green-Armytage²

¹Secretaria de Investigaciones FADU-UBA, Universidad de Buenos Aires, and Conicet, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²School of Design and Art, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

Synonyms

[Color appearance](#); [Perceptual appearance](#); [Total appearance](#); [Visual appearance](#)

Definition

Visual appearance refers to how things look to the visual system. Objects have physical properties, and in this regard, optical properties are usually most relevant together with size, texture, shape, etc. But what finally matters for vision is the appearance that objects have for an observer (human observers will be mainly referred to in this article; however, this is not restrictive to human beings since there are many other living species that have similar visual processing systems). The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) as well as the International Commission on Illumination (CIE) define appearance as the aspect of visual experience by which

objects are recognized [1, 2]. The ASTM specifies, furthermore, the definition of appearance from a psychophysical point of view: “perception in which the spectral and geometric aspects of a visual stimulus are integrated with its illuminating and viewing environment.” The physical properties have, of course, influence on the way things look, but also the neurophysiology and psychology of vision play a very important role in determining how objects and the world appear to humans. Thus it is important to understand visual appearance not merely in regard to physical aspects but as a sensation produced by the visual system when processing stimuli channeled by means of light – visible radiation. Visual appearance, understood as a visual sensation, i.e., what humans see, has certain attributes, which are also visual sensations. These include color, visual texture, gloss, and transparency. In the ASTM definition the “spectral aspects” refer, of course, to color, and the remaining aspects (including the perceived shape, size, etc. of objects) are usually considered as “geometric aspects.” From these visual sensations observers get information about the environment and build their knowledge of the external world, which allows them to interact with the environment and other individuals.

Overview

Historical Review of Studies on Visual Appearance

Under the heading of visual appearance a number of aspects are included such as color, texture, gloss, transparency, and also the shape and size of perceived objects or stimuli. The psychologist David Katz was probably the first to understand the various kinds of phenomena that always accompany the perception of color [3]. He describes different modes of color appearance: surface color, film color, volume color, specular colors, transparent colors, perception of luster, etc. By the middle of the twentieth century, Arthur Pope realized that, in order to define a color with accuracy, i.e., giving account for different modes in which a color may appear, more than the three usual variables (hue, lightness, saturation) are needed [4, p. 28].

In 1953, the Committee on Colorimetry of the Optical Society of America published a book in which visual perception is classified into eleven “attributes of modes of appearance” [5]:

1. Brightness (or Lightness)
2. Hue
3. Saturation
4. Size
5. Shape
6. Location
7. Flicker
8. Sparkle
9. Transparency
10. Glossiness
11. Luster

Lightness, hue, and saturation (attributes 1, 2, 3) are the usual variables by which color sensations are described. Size, shape, and location (attributes 4, 5, 6) are spatial categories of visual perception, which can be included in the category of spatial form. Flicker and sparkle (attributes 7, 8) involve temporal variations in the perception of light. Finally, transparency, glossiness, and luster (attributes 9, 10, 11) refer to the perception of different spatial distributions of light, aspects that will be included in the category of “cesia” to be described in the next section.

Richard Hunter proposed a classification of the geometric attributes of visual appearance [6]. He defined six different types of gloss and developed instruments for the measurement of some of these phenomena: goniophotometers, diffuse-reflection and specular-reflection meters, glossmeters, diffuse and specular-transmission meters, etc. Before Hunter, August H. Pfund had already described an instrument for measuring gloss [7].

The American Society for Testing and Materials has standardized the measurement of various physical aspects related to visual appearance [8–11] and published a glossary of standard terminology [1].


Robert Hunt has developed a hypothesis for the measurement of color appearance under different conditions of illumination and observation, taking color as the central point of his study [12]. Sven

Hesselgren observed that visual sensations, such as luster, reflection, and gloss, are not perceived as belonging to the color of an object but as something separate from color [13]. Ralph Evans, like Pope, also realized that the three variables normally used to define color are not enough to characterize color under different modes of appearance [14]. Evans concluded that it would be necessary to define at least five variables. In these conceptions, the phenomena of appearance have always been considered as aspects that accompany color sensations.

Other researchers have studied, either partially or globally, the aspects of visual appearance related to the different modes of distribution of light in space. Fabio Metelli tackled the problem of transparency from a phenomenological point of view, establishing a clear difference between physical and perceived transparency and developing a model that predicts the conditions under which the perception of achromatic transparency is possible [15]. Osvaldo Da Pos, following Metelli, enlarged the model to study the perception of chromatic transparency [16].

John Hutchings studied the phenomenon of translucency and its importance for the visual qualities of food. In articles published in 1993 and 1995 he integrated all the aspects in a model of total appearance [17, 18]. However, this model is mainly oriented to the analysis of food [19] and for this reason includes also nonvisual aspects of appearance such as smell, taste (flavor), and also texture as felt in the mouth, in addition to visual texture. Paul Green-Armytage proposed a three-dimensional model to organize what he called “qualities of surfaces” [20, 21]. Subsequently he introduced the term “tincture,” borrowed from heraldry, to encompass color, texture, and cesia [22]. Robert Sève tackled the specific problem of gloss [23]. Michael Brill used the model of Metelli to formulate a series of rules for the perception of chromatic translucency [24].

In 2006, a technical committee of the CIE (Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage, International Commission on Illumination) published a report to set a framework for the measurement of visual appearance [2].



Appearance, Fig. 1 The same scene with different visual features selected and categorized: (a) Different *colors*. (b) Different *spatial forms* or shapes that appear defined by their borders. (c) If attention is paid to certain regularities or repetition of elements on the objects, the notion of

texture can be applied. (d) Other visual characteristics such as the transparency of the glass, the white matte opacity of the paper towel, the metallic luster of the sugar pot, the glossy and semitransparent aspect of the ice cubes, and other similar ones have been given the name *cesia*

A Classification of Visual Appearance into Four Categories

It is possible to analyze a given scene and try to understand how most humans separate and categorize (by means of concepts and words) the main visual aspects involved. If the features shown in Fig. 1a are selected, people would agree on saying that they are different colors. If other features are selected, such as those shown in Fig. 1b, people will call them shapes (spatial forms). If the features selected in Fig. 1c are shown, people would call them textures. Finally, for the features selected in Fig. 1d the name *cesia* has been proposed.

Color can be defined as the aspect of visual appearance that results from the perception of different spectral compositions of light; it is what people are referring to when they say that some object or light stimulus is red, green, yellow, blue, etc.

Spatial forms, or shapes, are built in consciousness from the perception of borders and their integration, so that they enclose or delimitate a portion of space. Spatial forms can be one-dimensional (a straight line, a curved line, an irregular line, etc., which separate one portion of space from another), two-dimensional (a triangle, square, circle, irregular surfaces, etc.), or three-dimensional (a cube, a prism, a pyramid, a tetrahedron, a sphere, irregular volumes, etc.). They can have different shapes (as usually defined by the previous names), but they can also differ in size (length, surface, volume) and proportion (defined by the relationships among the dimensions of the shape: length, width, height).

Visual textures arise from the perception of sets of small elements that appear repeated in a surface or volume. The category of texture applies when these elements have no individual significance

and are interpreted as making a whole, building a pattern that can be more or less regular or irregular. Visual textures can be distinguished and described by different variables or dimensions. These variables include density (the elements of the texture can be sparse, dense, or intermediate), predominant directionality (the elements can vary in direction or have no predominant directionality), and, of course, the shape and size of the relatively small elements whose repetition composes the texture. Visually perceived textures may be two-dimensional (without relief) or three-dimensional (with relief), the latter being also perceived by touch.

Beyond color, spatial forms, and textures, there are some other visual appearances that depend on the way in which differences in the spatial distribution of light are perceived. It is these spatial distributions of light that cause things to be seen as transparent or opaque, glossy or matte, or with intermediate appearances between these. Since there has not been a single global name or category to refer to these aspects, the neologism *cesia* has been coined for them.

Cesia

“Cesia” is a term invented around 1980 by César Jannello, to designate the perceptions resulting from the different spatial distributions of light. This concept was developed mainly by Caivano [25–28]. Cesia encompasses all the visual sensations from transparency to translucency, matte opacity to mirror-like appearance (passing through glossy appearance), and lightness to darkness. Cesias are related to color in the sense that both are different aspects of the perception of light, and color deals also with lightness and darkness. But there are aspects that cannot be described by the three classical color dimensions of hue, lightness, and saturation. In this sense, cesia constitutes a category that can be added to the categories of color, visual texture, and spatial form in order to extend the possibilities of describing and classifying visual appearance. Three dimensions or variables can define cesia with respect to the perception of how light interacts with objects: permeability (from opaque to transparent), diffusivity (from regular to diffuse),

and darkness (from light to dark). Light can be reflected or transmitted by objects, either diffusely or regularly, and it is the perception of these phenomena that is covered by the term “cesia.” For instance, a surface that reflects light in a diffuse way will normally be perceived to be matte. If a surface reflects light with a certain specular component it will be perceived as glossy or mirror like. If it transmits light diffusely it will be seen as translucent, and if it transmits light in a regular way it will be seen as transparent. Finally a surface that absorbs most of the light will appear dark or black (Fig. 2).



A three-dimensional model has been proposed to organize the three variables of cesia (permeability, diffusivity, and darkness). The model is a kind of inverted pyramid, with four vertices on the top for the sensations of matte appearance, mirror-like appearance, translucency, and transparency. The connections among these form scales with a variation of permeability (or the opposite, opacity) in one direction and scales with a variation of diffusivity (or the opposite, regularity) in a perpendicular direction. From any of these points, scales going down in lightness (or increasing darkness) connect to the point that is the place for black (Fig. 3).

In both color and cesia the relationship between stimulus and sensation is not fixed but depends on three main factors – illumination, object, and observer–, and is affected by other factors such as visual context, adaptation, contrast, etc.

Color and cesia are closely connected because of their relationship with light; both are different aspects of the perception of light that contribute to the visual appearance of objects. The dimension of lightness/darkness is shared by color and cesia, being the link that connects both phenomena. Color and cesia interact thereby expanding the countless number of different visual appearances that humans are able to perceive. When Ludwig Wittgenstein, in his *Remarks on Color* [29], is concerned with the different types of white, yellow and golden, gray and silver, “black” mirrors, etc. and when he says, “Opaqueness is not a property of the white color. Any more than

Appearance,

Fig. 2 Cesia: processes followed by light falling on objects, and the way these stimuli are usually perceived



transparency is a property of the green,” he is dealing, in reality, with this aspect that can be called cesia.

Models to Organize Different Aspects of Visual Appearance


Roberto Daniel Lozano, one of the members of the CIE technical committee 1-65, proposed a new approach to appearance characterization, with a model that classifies visual appearance according to three main categories (color, cesia, and spatiality), which in turn includes subcategories and shows the interrelations and intermediate aspects among them [30].

More recently, Paul Green-Armytage proposed a model to link different modes and different aspects of appearance [31]. The model shows relationships between the surface, volume, and illuminant modes of appearance as well as transparency, translucency, opacity, texture, gloss, metallic luster, and luminosity. Caivano identifies the five vertices of his inverted pyramid model of cesia (Fig. 3) as “primary sensations” [26]. These are opaque white, translucent white, transparent

clear, mirror-like, and black. Caivano’s three-dimensional inverted pyramid can be presented in two dimensions (Fig. 4).


This two-dimensional representation of Caivano’s model is at the center of Green-Armytage’s model. Green-Armytage makes a distinction between gloss and metallic luster (mirrorlike), noting that scales can be constructed from a matte surface to surfaces that are increasingly glossy in one direction and from a matte surface to surfaces with increasing metallic luster in another direction. He also notes that scales can be constructed with increasing texture from three different starting points: smooth matte, smooth gloss, and smooth metallic luster. Matte, glossy, textured, and metallic aspects of appearance are all characteristic of the surface mode of appearance. The volume mode can vary between clear (transparent) and cloudy (translucent). In the illuminant mode light sources can appear in varying degrees of brightness with a notional maximum that can be described as glare. To Caivano’s five primary sensations Green-Armytage adds three more: gloss white, textured white, and white

Appearance, Fig. 3 The three-dimensional model of cesias



A

Appearance, Fig. 4 The classification of visual appearance proposed by Lozano (reproduced with permission for R. D. Lozano)




light glare. Each of the seven other primary sensations can be connected with scales to black, and these scales can, in turn, serve as the achromatic axes of order systems for colors that are opaque, translucent, transparent, and metallic (mirror-like), as well as glossy, textured, and self-luminous. Green-Armytage’s model, with color circles to correspond with the different primary sensations, is shown in Fig. 5.

Increasing apparent intensities of illumination can be represented on lines which converge at white light glare. The coherence of a light source, which affects appearances, can also be represented

on the diagram. “Hard” light, as from direct sunlight and under which objects cast clearly defined shadows, is represented on the line connecting transparent clear to white light glare, and ‘soft’ light, as from a heavily overcast sky and under which no shadows are cast, is represented on the line connecting translucent white to white light glare.

The various models described here can go some way to increasing awareness of the different aspects of appearance, and how they can be related, but no model can encompass the full experience of seeing the world in space and time.

Appearance, Fig. 5 Two-dimensional representation of Caivano's inverted pyramid model of cesia



Cross-References

- ▶ [Achromatic Color](#)
- ▶ [Anchoring Theory of Lightness](#)
- ▶ [CIE 1931 and 1964 Standard Colorimetric Observers: History, Data, and Recent Assessments](#)
- ▶ [Diffuse Lighting](#)
- ▶ [Gloss Meter](#)
- ▶ [Glossmeter](#)
- ▶ [Goniophotometer](#)
- ▶ [Opacity – Extinction](#)
- ▶ [Texture Measurement, Modeling, and Computer Graphics](#)
- ▶ [Tincture](#)
- ▶ [Transparency](#)
- ▶ [Unique Hues](#)

References

1. ASTM, American Society for Testing and Materials: Standard Terminology of Appearance. Standard E 284-01. ASTM, West Conshohocken (2001)
2. CIE, Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage, Technical Committee 1-65: CIE Technical Report: A Framework for the Measurement of Visual Appearance. CIE Central Bureau, Vienna (2006)
3. Katz, D.: Der Aufbau Der Farbwelt, 2nd ed. of Die Erscheinungsweisen Der Farben Und Ihre Beeinflussung Durch Die Individuelle Erfahrung. Johann Ambrosius Barth, Leipzig (1911/1930). English translation by MacLeod, R.B., Fox, C.W.: The World of Colour. Keagan Paul, Trench, Trubner, London (1935)
4. Pope, A.: The Language of Drawing and Painting. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA (1949)
5. Optical Society of America, Committee on Colorimetry: The Science of Color. Crowell, New York (1953). chapter 2
6. Hunter, R.S.: The Measurement of Appearance. Wiley, New York (1975)
7. Pfund, A.H.: The measurement of gloss. J. Opt. Soc. Am. **20**, 23–26 (1930)
8. ASTM, American Society for Testing Materials: Standard Recommended Practice for Selection of Geometric Conditions for Measurement of Reflectance and Transmittance. Standard E 179-73 (1974)
9. ASTM, American Society for Testing and Materials: Standard Guide for Selection of Geometric Conditions for Measurement of Reflection and Transmission Properties of Materials. Standard E 179 (1990)

10. ASTM, American Society for Testing and Materials: Standard Test Method for Visual Evaluation of Gloss Differences Between Surfaces of Similar Appearance. Standard D 4449 (1990)
11. ASTM, American Society for Testing and Materials: ASTM Standards on Color and Appearance Measurement, 5th edn. ASTM, Philadelphia (1996)
12. Hunt, R.W.G.: Measurement of color appearance. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **55**(11), 1540–1551 (1965)
13. Hesselgren, S.: *The Language of Architecture*. Studentlitteratur, Lund (1967)
14. Evans, R.M.: *The Perception of Color*. Wiley, Nueva York (1974)
15. Metelli, F.: The perception of transparency. *Sci. Am.* **230**(4), 90–98 (1974)
16. Da Pos, O.: *Trasparenze*. Icone Editrice, Padova (1990)
17. Hutchings, J.B.: The concept and philosophy of total appearance. In: Nemcsics, A., Schanda, J. (eds.) *Colour 93, Proceedings of the 7th Congress of the International Colour Association*, vol. C, pp. 55–59. Hungarian National Colour Committee, Budapest (1993)
18. Hutchings, J.B.: The continuity of colour, design, art, and science (I and II). *Color. Res. Appl.* **20**(5), 296–312 (1995)
19. Hutchings, J.B.: *Food Color and Appearance*, 2nd edn. Aspen Publishers, Gaithersburg (1999)
20. Green-Armytage, P.: Colour and other aspects of appearance. *Spect. Newsl. Colour Soc, Aust.* **6**(3), 1–11 (1992)
21. Green-Armytage, P.: Beyond colour. In: Nemcsics, A., Schanda, J. (eds.) *Colour 93, Proceedings of the 7th Congress of the International Colour Association*, vol. A, pp. 155–162. Hungarian National Colour Committee, Budapest (1993)
22. Green-Armytage, P.: Tincture – a new/old word for the appearance of things. *J. Sch. Des.* **2**, 16–23 (1993)
23. Sève, R.: Problems connected with the concept of gloss. *Color. Res. Appl.* **18**(4), 241–252 (1993)
24. Brill, M.H.: The perception of a colored translucent sheet on a background. *Color. Res. Appl.* **19**(1), 34–36 (1994)
25. Caivano, J.: Cesia: a system of visual signs complementing color. *Color. Res. Appl.* **16**, 258–268 (1991)
26. Caivano, J.: Appearance (cesia): construction of scales by means of spinning disks. *Color. Res. Appl.* **19**, 351–362 (1994)
27. Caivano, J.: Cesia: its relation to color in terms of the trichromatic theory. *Die. Farbe.* **42**(1/3), 51–63 (1996)
28. Caivano, J.: An atlas of cesia with physical samples. In: *AIC Color 97, Proceedings of the 8th Congress*, vol. I, pp. 499–502. Color Science Association of Japan, Kyoto (1997)
29. Wittgenstein, L.: *Bemerkungen über die Farben (1950–1951)*. English translation by McAlister, L., Schättle, M.: *Remarks on Colour*. University of California Press, Berkeley (1977)
30. Lozano, R.D.: A new approach to appearance characterization. *Color. Res. Appl.* **31**, 164–167 (2006)
31. Green-Armytage, P.: A model to link different modes and different aspects of appearance. In: *AIC 2011 Interaction of colour and light, Proceedings of the Midterm Meeting of the International Color Association*, pp. 165–168. Pro/colore, Zurich (2011)

Arc Lamps

► Carbon Arc Lamp

Architectural Lighting

Peter Raynham¹ and Kevin Mansfield²

¹University College of London, London, UK

²UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering, London, UK

Synonyms

Lighting design

Definition

Architectural lighting design is the design and implementation of daylight and electric lighting provision in urban and architectural environments. This process requires conceptual thinking, design development, and illuminating engineering to produce lit environments for people's work and leisure.

Lighting Quality

The built environment in which people live and work is composed of a variety of building types. In a shopping mall retail units are expected to be colorful and attractive. In an art gallery it is necessary to be able to appreciate the color and detail in a painting, and when walking in the city people expect to remain safe and secure. All these